

# SPECIAL HOUSING AND REGENERATION SCRUTINY COMMITTEE – 5TH DECEMBER 2019

# SUBJECT: DRAFT BUDGET PROPOSALS FOR 2020/21 – HOUSING & REGENERATION

REPORT BY: INTERIM CORPORATE DIRECTOR (COMMUNITIES) & CORPORATE DIRECTOR (SOCIAL SERVICES & HOUSING)

## 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To obtain the views of the Regeneration & Housing Scrutiny Committee on the draft 2020/21 budget proposals that were considered by Cabinet on 13<sup>th</sup> November 2019.

## 2. SUMMARY

- 2.1 The Cabinet Report that was considered on 13<sup>th</sup> November 2019 provides details of the draft budget proposals based on current knowledge of the likely Welsh Government (WG) 2020/21 Local Government financial settlement.
- 2.2 The Cabinet report also provides details of the draft savings proposals for 2020/21 totalling £8.48m.
- 2.3 This report seeks the views of the Regeneration & Housing Scrutiny Committee on the draft savings proposals for the Regeneration & Planning Division of the Communities Directorate and the Housing Division of the Directorate of Social Services and Housing.

## 3. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

3.1 Members of the Scrutiny Committee are asked to consider and comment on the range of draft savings proposals outlined in the report and its associated appendices.

# 4. REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 To ensure that the views of the Scrutiny Committee are considered prior to final savings proposals being presented to Cabinet and Council in February 2020.

# 5. THE REPORT

- 5.1 The headline issues relating to the provisional settlement, whole authority and service cost pressures, council tax, savings implications and financial outlook for future years are outlined in detail in the Cabinet report of 13<sup>th</sup> November 2019.
- 5.2 The Regeneration & Planning Division of the Communities Directorate provides a range of regulatory (Development Control & Building Control), Policy (Local Development Plan, Strategic Development Plan, Area Regeneration Masterplans) and Regeneration (Business Support, Tourism, Community Regeneration, Town Centre Support) Services.
- 5.3 The Housing Division of the Directorate of Social Services & Housing provides the full range of Private Sector Housing functions (Regulation, Homelessness, Landlord Support), manages the Council's Housing stock and develops and delivers strategic housing functions.
- 5.4 Effective delivery of these services generally impacts on the look and feel of the County Borough, Health & Well being of its residents and are key services in supporting and enhancing prosperity within the County Borough and encouraging and supporting business development.
- 5.5 The draft recurring savings for these divisions are outlined in Table 1 below:-

Service Division	Draft Recurring Savings Total (£m)	Total 2019/20 Net Budget (£m)	Saving as % of Budget
Regeneration & Planning	0.269	2.246	11.98%
Housing	0.097	1.613	6.01%
TOTAL	0.366	3.859	9.48%

# Table 1 – Draft Recurring Savings 2020/21

- 5.6 The draft recurring savings include a mix of individual proposals that have been classified as:
  - Being more efficient;
  - Doing things differently;
  - Service reductions/cuts;
  - Team Caerphilly transformation strategy.
- 5.7 Each saving is supported by an MTFP savings template and these are included at Appendix 2 to this report.
- 5.8 In order for members of the Scrutiny committee to fully understand the scale of savings that the 2 service divisions have delivered over recent years, table 2 below outlines the savings achieved over the period 2014/15 to 2019/20.

Service Division	MTFP Savings 2014/15 – 2019/20	% of 2014/15 Budget
Regeneration & Planning	£2.564m	71.4%
Housing	£0.626m	49%
TOTAL	£3.19m	60%

# Table 2 – Cumulative Savings over the Period 2014/15 – 2019/20

- 5.9 As can be seen from Table 2, above the total of the savings achieved by the 2 service divisions is £3.19m representing 60% of the total budget within these 2 service divisions 6 years ago.
- 5.10 The Scrutiny Committee is therefore asked for its views on the draft savings proposals outlined in this report and its appendices.

# 6. ASSUMPTIONS

6.1 The key assumptions relating to the 2020/21 draft budget and savings proposals are outlined in the Cabinet report of 13<sup>th</sup> November 2019 as the Welsh Government Provisional Financial Settlement had not been announced at the time of drafting.

# 7. LINKS TO RELEVANT COUNCIL POLICIES

- 7.1 The budget setting process encompasses all the resources used by the Council to deliver services and meet priorities.
- 7.2 Effective financial planning and the setting of a balanced budget support the following Well-being Goals within the Well-being of Future Generations Act (Wales) 2015: -
  - A prosperous Wales.
  - A resilient Wales.
  - A healthier Wales.
  - A more equal Wales.
  - A Wales of cohesive communities.
  - A Wales of vibrant culture and thriving Welsh Language.
  - A globally responsible Wales.

# 8. WELL-BEING OF FUTURE GENERATIONS

8.1 Effective financial planning is a key element in ensuring that the well-being goals within the well-being of Future Generations Act (Wales) 2015 are met.

## 9. EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

9.1 Equalities Impact Screenings have been be undertaken for all of the draft 2020/21 savings proposals and Equalities Impact Assessments (EIA's) have been completed where they are required. This is to ensure that decisions that affect different individuals

and groups with protected characteristics are assessed at an appropriate and relevant level and at the correct stage in the process. Completed EIA's are appended to this report and are available on the Council's website.

9.2 Consultation with residents, when done in accordance with the Council's Communications & Engagement Strategy and the Equalities Consultation and Monitoring Guidance, also ensures that every resident, regardless of circumstances, has the opportunity to have their views heard and considered in the Council's decision-making process.

# 10. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10.1 The financial implications are detailed throughout the report and associated appendices in addition to the Cabinet report of 13<sup>th</sup> November 2019.

# 11. PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

- 11.1 Where staff reductions are required as a consequence of savings proposals the Council will firstly try to achieve this through 'natural wastage' and not filling vacancies. However, where this is not possible the Council will utilise agreed HR policies and compulsory redundancies will only be considered when all other options have been fully exhausted.
- 11.2 The Trade Unions will be fully consulted on the 2020/21 draft budget proposals.

## 12. CONSULTATIONS

- 12.1 An extensive, consultation process on the 2020/21 draft savings proposals has commenced. This process consists of: -
  - Online and hard copy consultation a survey and supporting documentation.
  - A dedicated 'pull-out' in the December edition of Newsline.
  - Enhanced use of digital media including question and answer sessions, other digital engagement and signposting to the online survey.
  - Consultation/engagement with local members/AMs/MPs.
  - Face to face engagement including: -
    - Drop in sessions at locations across the county borough.
    - Engagement with the Viewpoint Panel, 50+ Forum, Youth Forum, Caerphilly Business Forum, Parent Network etc.
    - Engagement with affected staff groups and Trade Unions.
  - Consultation with the Voluntary Sector.
  - Consultation with Town and Community Councils.
  - Targeted consultation with Equalities Network contacts Menter laith, Caerphilly County Borough Access Group, Action Hearing Loss Cymru etc.
  - Consultation with council staff.
  - Posters displayed at affected establishments.
  - Consultation with partner organisations and other targeted stakeholder engagement as appropriate.

# 13. STATUTORY POWER

13.1 The Local Government Acts 1998 & 2003.

Author:	Mark Williams, Interim Corporate Director of Communities e-mail: willims@caerphilly.gov.uk
Consultees:	Steve Harris, Interim Head of Business Improvement Services and Acting S151 Officer Lynne Donovan, Head of People Services Rob Tranter, Head of Legal Services and Monitoring Officer Rhian Kyte, Head of Regeneration and Planning Shaun Couzens, Chief Housing Officer Councillor Sean Morgan, Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Economy, Infrastructure, Sustainability & Wellbeing of Future Generations Councillor Eluned Stenner, Cabinet Member for Environment & Public Protection Councillor Lisa Phipps, Cabinet Member for Homes & Places Councillor John Ridgewell, Chair of Regeneration and Housing Scrutiny Committee Councillor Christine Forehead, Vice Chair of Regeneration and Housing Scrutiny Committee

Background Papers:

- Council 21/12/19 Budget Proposals for 2019/20 and Medium Term Financial Plan 2019/20 to 2023/24
- Cabinet 12/6/19 Future Caerphilly Transformation Strategy #Team Caerphilly Better Together.
- Cabinet 13/11/19 Draft Budget Proposals for 2020/21

Appendices:

- Appendix 1 2020/21 Draft Savings Proposals
  - Being More Efficient
  - Doing Things Differently
  - Service Regulations/Cuts
  - Team Caerphilly Better Together
- Appendix 2 MTFP Savings Templates

#### 2020/21 Draft Savings Proposals

Appendix 1

Ref.	Proposed Saving	£000's	Comments	Public Impact
	A) BEING MORE EFFICIENT			-
	Social Services & Housing: -			
A18	Private Housing - Minor restructure. Communities: -	27	Will result in the deletion of 1 post.	
A19	Business Support & Funding - Budget realignments	40	In addition to budget realignments in previous years, budgets can be reduced in further budget headings in 2020/21. The most significant savings are Cleaning (£3.5k), Oakdale Joint Venture (£27k), Telephone Recharges (£2k), Postage (£4k) and Subscriptions (£2k). This totals £38.5k and the remaining £1.5k will be taken in small amounts from other budget headings.	Nil
A20	Business Support & Funding - Increased rental income from Lowry Plaza Unit Shops.	5	Lowry Plaza currently has one shop unit unlet, unit 6A. It is anticipated that this unit will be let by the 2020/21 financial year. The income from the unit will generate circa £5k.	Nil
A21	Urban Renewal - Reduction in Publicity & Promotion budget.	2	Budget realignment.	Nil
A22	Urban Renewal - Reduction in mileage, printing and miscellaneous expenditure budgets.	2	Budget realignment.	Nil
A23	Tourism - Blackwood Miners Institute - Reduced Box Office opening hours.	8	As a consequence of the purchase of a new cloud based box office system complemented by a revamped website, the ticket booking process has become more user friendly and appealing thus increasing the number of users booking online and supporting the Council's Customer & Digital Strategy.	Nil
A24	Tourism - Blackwood Miners Institute - Staffing restructure.	20	Staff restructure to reduce the number of management hours required and reorganise duties amongst existing staff.	Nil
A25	Tourism - Winding House - Budget realignment and reduction in staffing budget.	30	The staffing element can be achieved following changes to the service in recent years where the venue has closed on a Sunday and Monday and through an integration of staff resource at Llancaiach Fawr Manor.	Nil
A26	Tourism - Marketing and Events - Reduction in marketing spend.	8	Budget realignment to marketing and administrative budgets to reflect a reduction in paid for advertising and reduced postage as use of digital and social media increases as a marketing tool.	Nil
A27	Tourism - Additional budget efficiencies across all venues.	21	To be achieved through efficiency savings across the Tourism Venues expenditure budgets	Nil
	Sub-Total: -	163		
	B) DOING THINGS DIFFERENTLY			
	No savings proposals in this category.			
	Sub-Total: -	0		
	C) SERVICE REDUCTIONS/CUTS			
C06	Social Services & Housing: - Housing - Withdrawal of General Fund Housing contribution to	45	Review of the service to achieve efficiencies in conjunction	Moderate
	Community Environmental Warden Service.		with Refuse and Cleansing.	
	Communities: -			
	Business Support & Funding - Reduction in the revenue budget for the Community Enterprise Fund.	50	The revenue budget for grants previously administered by the Business Support & Funding Team has reduced from £232k (Community Regeneration Fund) and £62K (Business Development Grants) in 2015/16 to a combined revenue budget for the new Caerphilly Enterprise Fund of £101k. It is proposed that this be reduced by a further £50k in 2020/21. It should be noted that there is a separate capital allocation for the Fund of £149k that will be retained.	Minor
C08	Urban Renewal - Reduction in Town Centre/Community Projects budget.	8	The total budget is £41k and it is used to deliver small capital improvements in our town and village centres, mainly concentrating on the public realm.	Minor
C09	Community Regeneration - Reduction in Community Projects budget.	35	Due to the reduction of Community Regeneration Co-ordinator posts and the phasing out of the Communities First Programme, a number of community partnerships have ceased across the borough. This budget helped support the partnerships with annual running costs including liability insurance for events, marketing, administration fees etc.	Minor
	Sub-Total: -	138		
	D) #TEAMCAERPHILLY - BETTER TOGETHER			
	Fees and Charges			
D01	Social Services & Housing: - Housing - Increase in Housing Revenue Account (HRA) recharges - Minor works of adaptation.	25	An increased recharge to the HRA to support the minor works of adaptation work currently managed by Social Services and commissioned to Private Sector Housing.	Nil
			pommissioned to r male sector ribusing.	
	Communities: -			

D02	Planning - Income from charging for advice.	6	This consists of increasing the current charges for non- statutory planning advice and introducing a new charge for discretionary pre-application advice in respect of Conservation/Built Heritage applications to bring them in line with other applications.	Minor
D03	Urban Renewal - Increased staff fee income from project management on grant funded projects.	2	Increase in fee income from Urban Renewal project management of externally funded projects, predominantly European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) schemes.	Nil
D04	Tourism - Cwmcarn Visitor Centre - Additional income.	10	Additional income arising from a number of investments that have developed on site aimed at attracting more visitors. Recent investments include the installation of an adventure park aimed at family audiences and 6 new accommodation units that provide a greater yield per pitch compared to the camping pitches they have replaced.	Nil
D05	Tourism - Caerphilly Visitor Centre - Additional catering income.	5	As a consequence of an investment in new furniture at the site the number of café 'covers' have increased and a more appealing café offer has been created.	Nil
D06	Tourism - Blackwood Miners Institute - Additional income.	5	A focus on secondary spend though the provision of a mobile bar and confectionery unit aims to improve sales to customers by taking items into the auditorium during shows.	Nil
D07	Tourism - Marketing and Events - Additional income from review of Pitch Fees.	12	Further income generation can be achieved through an increase in pitch fees and sponsorship where there is commercial demand for the more popular events.	Nil
	Sub-Total: -	65		
	TOTAL: -	366		

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Social Services and Housing
SERVICE AREA:	Private Sector Housing

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Deletion of a Scale 8 technical officer post, following the retirement of the staff member.
BUDGET AREA:	Private Sector Housing

TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£276,050	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	10%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	
		•	

TOTAL SAVING:	£27,000

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

The technical officer role is generic and encompasses works of adaptation for public sector properties, Disabled Facilities Grants and housing regeneration, primarily through grants and loans. It also provides support to the WHQS programme which is due to be completed by the end of June 2020. It is proposed that one post will be deleted following the retirement of a staff member. The current workload consists of 2 main elements:

50% processing disabled facility grants - (saving to the General Fund of £27,000 in 2020/21) 50% providing public sector adaptations.

The total post saving is £30,000 but this has to be offset slightly by a minor cost increase expected in Housing Strategy and will therefore be equivalent to £27,000.

# 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

The provision of Disabled Facilities Grants is a statutory function but demand for medium and large scale adaptations for both public and private sector residents has fallen over the past 5 years. There are currently 8 permanent and 1 fixed term technical officer posts within Private Sector Housing. The workload of these officers relates to private sector housing repair, delivery of adaptations across tenures and the WHQS programme (linked to leaseholders). Following the completion of the WHQS programme in 2020, the workload of all posts will need to be reviewed and, where necessary, redistributed. It is anticipated that this will facilitate a transfer of staff currently undertaking works associated with WHQS to increase capacity in the adaptations team, following the retirement of the technical officer. Although some officers will be involved with post WHQS asset management works, this work programme will not be as extensive as the current workload.

Following a short transition period it is anticipated that there will be no impact on the public.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

The provision of adaptations enables people to remain independent in their homes for longer, supports health and well-being and reduces the burden on carers. Such provision is known to reduce demand for frontline services such as social care and health services, reducing the likelihood of hospital admissions and delayed transfers of care.

It is important that when the need for an adaptation is identified that the delivery of that adaptation is within timescales set by statute and the Welsh Government Housing Adaptations Service Standard, to minimise unnecessary delays or unsatisfactory outcomes e.g. falls. It is vital that the preventative agenda linked to the delivery of adaptations is supported.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO		
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH				
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)				
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or				
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,				
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		<ul><li>✓ (due to the</li></ul>		
Relation of Beller, SEX, SEXONE ONE INTERVISION		redistribution of work as		
		detailed above)		
NR * IE VES, DI EASE COMDITEE AN EQUIALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING, THIS WILL				

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

No consultation has taken place with service users or potential service users as there is no anticipated impact.

The Private Sector Housing service would retain its current statutory functions and would continue to support the post WHQS programme going forward.

The proposal will form part of the public consultation on the 2020/21 Medium Term Financial Plan.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM		
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	•	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	~			

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

To ensure capacity of Adaptations Service is maintained there is a possible impact on the Post-WHQS programme for leasehold properties (HRA funded) if resource has been redirected to support adaptations, however it is anticipated that the post WHQS workload will be less than current WHQS workload

If there is a future increase in demand for adaptations the service delivery mechanism for adaptations would remain the same but the timescales for delivery could potentially be increased, however it is noted that the number of recommendations received for adaptations has shown a reducing trend over the past 5 years .

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

Some impact on the nature of the workload for remaining Technical Officers within Private Sector Housing with the same JD who are currently supporting the post-WHQS programme and delivering adaptations.

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	27.81
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	9.5 fte (DFG & Adaptation)
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED SAVING:	1

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	

RETIREMENT:	1
REDEPLOYMENT:	
REDUNDANCY:	

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	20/21

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	YES VHRA	NO
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		<ul> <li>✓ (Due to redistribution of roles within Private housing)</li> </ul>

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

There will be a potential reduction in technical officers to deliver WHQS programme for Public Sector Housing however programme due for completion in June 2020 at which point workload is expected to reduce.

Redistribution of responsibilities to increase capacity within Adaptations Team should result in a nil impact on Social Services, Health and third sector partners.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

Redistribution of technical officers workloads following completion of WHQS programme, in collaboration with Public Sector Housing, to reduce those involved in WHQS programme and retain levels linked to the delivery of adaptations.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	✓			

# 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	WBO 3 – address the availability condition and sustainability of homes throughout the county borough and provide advise, assistance or support to help improve people's heath and well- being	Reduction in technical expertise to ensure the asset management strategy is implemented to maintain the condition of the housing stock following WHQS attainment. The provision of public sector	
	WBO 6 – Support citizens to remain independent and improve their well - being	adaptations and Disabled Facilities Grants will continue to be achieved through the redistribution of workloads although the performance times may increase during transition and if demand increases in future years.	
STATUTORY DUTIES	Provision of Disabled Facilities Grants (Housing Grants, Construction and Regeneration Act 1996)	Redistribution of staff will ensure that statutory obligations are met although there may be potential for impact on performance times during transition and if demand increases in future years	
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	Attaining and maintaining the condition of council owned housing stock to WHQS standard is a statutory requirement	Reduction in technical expertise to ensure the asset management strategy is implemented to maintain the condition of the housing stock following WHQS attainment.	

# 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)		
	~	
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:		
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PI	REVENTATIVE SERVICE AND	FUTURE IMPACTS,
FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE	etc.	
There is a risk of not meeting the statutory requireme standard.	nt set by WG on maintainin	g council stock to WHQS

There is a risk of not fully recovering costs from leaseholders due to reduced resource. There is a risk of reduced performance in the provision of medium and large scale adaptations in both the public and private sector.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

Relying on remaining staff to cover the reduction in resource.

#### 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

**HEAD OF SERVICE: SHAUN COUZENS** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 13 SEP 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration – Business Enterprise & Renewal Team

# 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Reduction in revenue budget previously allocated to Business Support & Funding Team.		
BUDGET AREA:	Business Support & Funding Team (2504 and 2600)		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£1,345,041 total for budget	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	2.9%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

TOTAL SAVING:	£40,000

## PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

In addition to budget realignments in previous years, budgets can be reduced in many budget headings in 2020-21. The most significant savings are Cleaning (£3.5K), Oakdale Joint Venture (£27K), Telephone Recharges (£2K), Postage (£4K) and Subscriptions (£2K). This totals £38.5K and the remaining £1.5K will be taken in small amounts from other budget headings.

The Oakdale Joint Venture budget provides the Council's payment of a percentage of income achieved from four industrial units on Oakdale Business Park. The proposed reduction reflects a corresponding reduction in the rental income from General Dynamics. The rent was reduced in 2016 when the lease was renegotiated.

It must be recognised that the annual maintenance expenditure for the industrial and office portfolio will exceed the budget available by a significant margin each year - the portfolio is ageing and requires ongoing reactive maintenance expenditure to cover emerging faults and repairs. A condition survey in 2018 identified the need to spend around £300K p.a. in each of the next five years, in addition to the ongoing spend on reactive maintenance and this is the subject of a separate report.

Security spend will also exceed the budget forecast each year as it has not proved possible to reduce the level of security cover in Tredomen and the annual cost of providing this service has increased significantly during the past three years, from £61K in 2016-17 to over £80K in 2019-20.

# 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

There will be no impact, providing ongoing maintenance issues continue to be addressed via the funding required to tackle the issues raised in the property condition surveys.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

If reactive maintenance action is unable to be taken using this budget and preventative maintenance is not undertaken via the provision of additional funding as indicated above, there are potential health and safety issues in the long term.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		Х

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

None on this specific budget reduction as it will not impact on any service users.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE	Y	
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	~	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE		
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		

CONSULTATION.	

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
Х				

#### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

There should be no negative feedback to the Council from businesses and industrial and office tenants, but satisfaction should be monitored as the service area continues to contract. Expenditure on statutory maintenance and health and safety issues must be covered from the reduced budget. It should also be noted that appropriate expenditure on the property portfolio helps to preserve the annual £2.3m income stream.

# PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL **IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF**:

There will be no immediate effect on staffing numbers.

However, the Business Enterprise Renewal Team will effectively have a smaller budget to deliver an effective business support and property portfolio function.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	3
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	3

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	none
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	
	n/a
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	
	n/a
RETIREMENT:	n/a
REDEPLOYMENT:	
	n/a
REDUNDANCY:	

	n/a
PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	n/a
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	YES X	NO
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		Х

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR *INTEGRATION*. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

The budget for maintenance has previously been supplemented by underspends in other budget headings, so these reductions will affect the team's flexibility in covering the costs – the maintenance duties are allocated by Corporate Property / Facilities Management, who will need to be kept aware of any emerging budget issues.

In addition, the Council's Cleansing team is contracted to clean the office buildings and a reduction in the cleaning budget will remove any flexibility to conduct additional cleansing tasks.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

Collaboration guidance: Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

The Team will continue to communicate with Corporate Property, FM and Cleansing colleagues regarding budget availability.

# TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	Х			

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

#### DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.

POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	Well-being Objective 2 – Enabling employment	There will be negligible or no impact as a result of this proposal.
STATUTORY DUTIES	Statutory maintenance of industrial and office properties	There should be no impact, as this is an overwhelming priority.
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	WG Oakdale Joint Venture	Adequate budget remains available to pay the WG contribution from the rent.

# 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	Х	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

Risk of reduced budget to address maintenance issues and provide security as mentioned above could impact on health and safety and attractiveness/usability of properties. This could reduce the number of tenants and result in a loss of income from the portfolio.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

- Ongoing prioritisation of maintenance, upkeep and security of the property portfolio.
- Agreement to provide the £300K p.a. requested to address the issues identified in the condition surveys.

# 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None to add

Rhe Kyte

HEAD OF SERVICE: DATE OF COMPLETION: 9 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration – Urban Renewal

## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Increased rental from Lowry Plaza Unit Shops		
BUDGET AREA: Urban Renewal/Town Centre Management (3072)			
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£155,797 for budget 3072	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	Increase of 3.2%
THIS AREA:	L051	SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	
TOTAL SAVING:	£5,000 increase in income		

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Lowry Plaza currently has one shop unit unlet, unit 6A. It is anticipated that this unit will be let by the 20/21 financial year. The income from the unit will see the department hit its £5k target.

# 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

There will be a positive impact on the public as another retail unit becomes operational in Bargoed town centre, offering more choice to shoppers and visitors

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

Letting the unit reduces the burden of the council covering the costs associated with an empty retail unit

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		Х
· · · /		

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *INVOLVEMENT*.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

None on this specific budget item as it is actually positive for the community.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	v	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	^	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
Х				

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

Costs associated with achieving the Heads of Terms will be covered from the Tenants Incentive Budget – an internal capital budget that has been established to facilitate the letting of the retail units in Bargoed.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL **IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF**:

There will be no immediate effect on staffing numbers.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	3
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	3

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	none
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	
	n/a
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	
	n/a
RETIREMENT:	n/a
REDEPLOYMENT:	
	n/a
REDUNDANCY:	
	n/a

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	n/a
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		х
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR		
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		Х

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

Not applicable

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

Not applicable

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
Х				

# 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	Well-being Objective 2 – Enabling employment	There will be a positive impact on the retail sector in the town centre.	
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A		

# 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	Х	
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:		
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PL	REVENTATIVE SERVICE AND	FUTURE IMPACTS,
FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.		
Risk of not getting a tenant secured or the Unit. If this happened then the income target would not be achievable		
achievable		
PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVIT	TES WILL BE MITIGATED?	
NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED	TO BE TOLERATED IN THE	CONTEXT OF BUDGET

# PRESSURES.

- Currently Heads of Terms are being negotiated between the Council and a well known high street retailer for Unit 6a. An internal Tenants Incentive capital Budget will be used to ensure that the Council can accommodate the terms set out by the retailer.

#### 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None to add

Khe Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration – Urban Renewal

## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Reduction in Publicity and Promotional Budgets		
BUDGET AREA:	Urban Renewal/Town Centre Management (2506 and 3021)		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£18,953 total for budget	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	10.5%
THIS AREA:	P201 and Q251	SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

TOTAL SAVING:	£2,000
	12,000

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

A small reduction of £2,000 in the available budget allocated under budget codes P201 and Q251 which are used for promoting and marketing our town centres

A £2000 reduction in a £18,953 overall promotional/marketing budget is manageable as it equates to a 10.5% reduction.

The two budget headings are used for promotional activity and commissioning private contractors, the latter being used solely to maintain and deliver the lamp post banners in the 5 town centres.

Promotional activity is a core component of the "Unique Places" model of Town Centre management. The  $\pm 2,000$  savings will come from a reduction in promotional material produced, reduction in the number of High Street campaigns launched and a reduction in the number of banner changeovers undertaken per annum.

# 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

A reduction of nearly 10.5% of the publicity and promotion budget will have an impact on the town centre business communities within the 5 town centres currently covered by the Town Centre Management function.

Banner changeovers reducing will see banners staying up for longer and being changed less frequently. This could lead to the in-situ banners showing visible signs of deterioration through wear and ageing.

Reducing the level of promotional activity will impact on the business communities in that they will not get the same levels of exposure and promotional support that they currently receive.

However, the savings targeted at the marketing and promotional element of the Town Centre function will protect the core salary of the UR team members allowing them to continue to offer advice and support to the town centre business communities.

A small reduction of this nature will not have a massive impact but will still be enough for the retail/commercial community in our managed town centres to notice an adverse impact on how their towns are marketed

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

Town centre businesses are currently under pressure to survive. Reducing support now may have larger negative impacts on the ability of these businesses to survive

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		Х
NB * IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN FOUALITY IMPA	ACT ASSESSMENT (FIA) SCREEN	ING. THIS WILL

DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

None on this specific budget reduction as it is small in nature.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	×	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	X	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	Х			

#### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

There will be some negative feedback to the Council from the town centre business communities, town centre management group members and local councillors as they realise that the Council's ability to promote the towns is impacted upon.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

There will be no immediate effect on staffing numbers.

However, the Urban Renewal/Town Centre team will effectively have a smaller budget to deliver marketing/promotional campaigns.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	3
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	3

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	none
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	
	n/a
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	
	n/a
RETIREMENT:	n/a
REDEPLOYMENT:	

	n/a
REDUNDANCY:	
	n/a

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	n/a
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	Х	
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		Х

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

The budget has traditionally been used to commission the Council's Design and Print Services

The amount of works being instructed will reduce in line with the budget cuts.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

A clear message is already being presented to the business community, town centre management group members and Councillors through the regular dialogue that Urban Renewal and Town Centre Management staff have with them that budgets are reducing and that some functions will be affected.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	Х			

# 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	Well-being Objective 2 – Enabling employment	There will be fewer resources to promote town centres Visitor numbers may be affected negatively. Prospective businesses may be put off investing.	
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A		

# 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE	E ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH	HTHIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	X	
		×	
F YE	S, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:		
PLEA	SE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PI	REVENTATIVE SERVICE AND	FUTURE IMPACTS.
	NCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE		
≀isk (	of adverse reaction by town and village communi	ties, including local ward M	embers. However the
edu	ction is small enough so as not to have a large im	pact.	
PLEA	SE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVIT	IES WILL BE MITIGATED?	
ΝΟΤ	ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED		CONTEXT OF BUDGET
ΝΟΤ			CONTEXT OF BUDGET
NOT PRES	ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED SURES.	O TO BE TOLERATED IN THE	
NOT PRES	ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED SURES. Regular face to face contact with the business con	O TO BE TOLERATED IN THE	
NOT PRES	ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED SURES.	O TO BE TOLERATED IN THE	
NOT PRES	ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED SURES. Regular face to face contact with the business con	<b>TO BE TOLERATED IN THE</b> mmunity through the Town	Centre Management
NOT PRES	ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED SSURES. Regular face to face contact with the business con team	<b>TO BE TOLERATED IN THE</b> mmunity through the Town	Centre Management
NOT PRES	ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED SURES. Regular face to face contact with the business con team Town Centre Management Groups are aware of p	TO BE TOLERATED IN THE	Centre Management vices, as are local

# 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT

None to add

Rue Kyte

HEAD OF SERVICE:

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration – Urban Renewal

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	A22 Reduce Mileage, printing and Miscellaneous expenditure
BUDGET AREA:	Urban Renewal Urban Renewal/Town Centre Management (2506 and 3021)

TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£14,000 total for	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	14%
THIS AREA:	miscellaneous budget	SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	
	headings		

TOTAL SAVING:	£1,400 (rounded to £2,000)

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

A 14% reduction in the overall mileage, printing and miscellaneous budget headings from the Urban Renewal budget is offered up as a saving of £1,400.

# 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

These savings will have minimal impact on the service area. No long term needs will be impacted upon

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

This saving proposal will have no effect on any areas that may produce future burdens if neglected now.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		X
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		X

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

This is an internal services management budget so its realignment has no effect on customers/residents

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		X
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM		~
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE		
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
Х				

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

This saving could possibly curtail the amount of site visits undertaken by UR team members staff.

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

This saving could possibly curtail the amount of site visits undertaken by UR team members

staff.	
NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	3
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	3

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	none
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	
	n/a
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	
	n/a
RETIREMENT:	n/a
REDEPLOYMENT:	
	n/a
REDUNDANCY:	
	n/a

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	n/a
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		x
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		х

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

# Not applicable

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

More meetings to be held on this campus to reduce the team's mileage. Less frequent trips made to town centres. Staff encouraged to use pool cars when available.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE<br/>ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):NILMINORMODERATESIGNIFICANTCRITICALIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTXIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACT

# 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN	N/A		
and WELL-BEING			
OBJECTIVES (please			
state which			
objectives)			
STATUTORY DUTIES			
WELSH			
GOVERNMENT			
GUIDANCE or			
STRATEGY			

# 4. **RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES**

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO		
VITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)				
		Х		
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:				
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS LOSS OF P	PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS,			
FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.				
FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORT PERFORIVIANCE ELC.				
N/A				
PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?				
NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET				
PRESSURES.				

N/A

# 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

Mileage and printing budgets in 18/19 where not fully committed – hence a 10% saving in 20/21 will have minimal impact.

Rue Kyte

HEAD OF SERVICE:

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Blackwood Miners Institute: Reduce Box Office opening hours		
BUDGET AREA:	Blackwood Miners Institute		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£327,815	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	2.3%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	
TOTAL SAVING:	£7,500 (rounded to 8,000)		

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

As a consequence of the purchase of a new cloud based box office system complemented by a revamped website the ticket booking process has become more user friendly and appealing increasing the number of users booking online and supporting the Councils Customer & Digital Strategy.

# 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

The saving will have a positive impact on the public in enhancing the transactional route to purchasing tickets for shows at the venue, meeting the current demand from customers to use their smart phones and digital platforms to make their purchases online.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

The savings will have a positive impact on the well-being of the public through the provision of an enhanced

purchasing experience who can choose their seats under the new online booking system without having to phone or visit the venue.

YES	NO
	Х
	YES

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	×	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	*	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
x				

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The saving will have a positive impact on the organisation in support of the Customer & Digital Strategy to encourage a channel shift allowing customers to purchase tickets at their convenience and to reduce the financial burden on the organisation by having to maintain unsustainable box office opening times.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

The saving will have no impact on existing staff as there are two vacant posts and the reduction in the overall hours required will be adjusted when recruiting to the vacant posts accordingly.

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	0.95
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	3
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	0 – Reduced hours will be taken from the 2 vacant posts
PROPOSED SAVING:	in the structure

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?	
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	2	
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A	
RETIREMENT:	N/A	
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A	
REDUNDANCY:	N/A	

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	x	
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		x

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR *INTEGRATION*. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

The reduction in box office hours will impact on Duty Managers who work in the evening who will have to engage with lone working practices, predominately during the week.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

A number of staff meetings have been held including a meeting with duty manager's and reception staff affected by the proposal to discuss the changes and a number of communication, access and security arrangements have been undertaken to mitigate against the introduction of lone working for certain staff.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE<br/>ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):NILMINORMODERATESIGNIFICANTCRITICALIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTxIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACT

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	N/A		
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A		

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO	
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	x		
	^		
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:			
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS,			
FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.			
The financial risk of not achieving sales as a consequence of the reduced box office hours.			
PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?			
NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET			
PRESSURES.		CONTEXT OF DODGET	
PREJJUREJ.			

The box office will still be available for at least five hours each day of the week and as usual before a show for customers to phone or visit in person.

Since the introduction of the new system the number of online sales has increased from 28% to 50%.

## 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None

Rue Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Blackwood Miners Institute: Staff restructure		
BUDGET AREA:	Blackwood Miners Institute		
	·		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£327,815	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	6%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	
TOTAL SAVING:	£20,000		

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Staff restructure to reduce the number of management hours required and reorganise duties amongst existing staff.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

No impact on the public, positions are back office and not directly customer facing.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

No impact on the public, positions are back office and not directly customer facing.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK) (AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE, RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)	YES This proposal with have a positive effect on the staff member returning from maternity leave	NO
--	--	----

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	v	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	^	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC<br/>IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):NILMINOR<br/>IMPACTMODERATE<br/>IMPACTSIGNIFICANT<br/>IMPACTCRITICAL<br/>IMPACTXIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACT

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The restructure will improve the financial sustainability of the site by reducing the management overhead costs.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

The saving will have no impact on existing staff as the current deputy manager is employed on an interim basis as maternity cover and is employed on a reduced hour's contract. The theatre service manager is currently on maternity leave and is looking to reduce their hours on their return from maternity leave.

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	8.47
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	12

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE<br/>PROPOSED SAVING:1 – Theatre Service Manager is looking to reduce hours<br/>on return.

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A
RETIREMENT:	N/A
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A
REDUNDANCY:	N/A

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	N/A

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		х
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		x

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR *INTEGRATION*. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

The theatre and arts service has a long history of collaborative working with other local authority partners to deliver co-production touring shows and is currently a revenue funded client of the Arts Council of Wales. Continued collaboration may require local authority partners to take the lead on some of the projects currently in place.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE

ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NILMINORMODERATESIGNIFICANTCRITICALIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACT				
X				

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	N/A		
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A		

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO		
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)		x		
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:				
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PL	REVENTATIVE SERVICE AND	FUTURE IMPACTS,		
FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.				
None to add.				
PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?				
NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.				

NA

## 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None

Rhe Kyte

HEAD OF SERVICE:

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

#### **1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

SAVING PROPOSAL:	The Winding House & Museums Service: Realignment		
BUDGET AREA:	GET AREA: The Winding House & Museums Service		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£208,114 % OF TOTAL BUDGET IN 6.7%		
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

TOTAL SAVING:	£14,000

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

A realignment of the budget to reduce expenditure in areas where the budget has either not been met in recent years or is not required for future years.

The change from staging and curating our own exhibitions to bringing in external organisations to stage temporary art exhibitions has created a unique gallery space started at the beginning of the current financial period which has resulted in a reduced budget for curatorial support. The centre is carrying a high level of souvenir stock and the catering budget is too high for the catering sales allowing both stock budget lines to be reduced. Marketing activities are more digitally based allowing a reduction in the marketing budget.

Q503 - £2,500 M209 - £3,000 M407 - £5,000 P201 - £2,000 P001/2 - £1,500

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

Long-term guidance: Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard

the ability to meet long-term needs.

N/A

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

N/A

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		Х
NB * IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMP	ACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREEN	ING. THIS WILL
DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE		
POLICY PORTAL. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS		
RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.		

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *INVOLVEMENT*.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

Feedback is regularly provided by existing customers on their experiences at the venue.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	Y	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	Х	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
x				

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

#### The saving will have a positive impact on the organisation in making the venue more sustainable financially.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

The saving will have no impact on staff.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	2.8
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	

NUMBER OF **POSTS** IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED: 4

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	0
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?	
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A	
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A	
RETIREMENT:	N/A	
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A	
REDUNDANCY:	N/A	

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
,		х
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR		
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		Х

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):					
NIL	NIL MINOR MODERATE SIGNIFICANT CRITICAL				
IMPACT	IMPACT IMPACT IMPACT IMPACT IMPACT				
x					

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN	N/A		
and WELL-BEING			
OBJECTIVES (please			
state which			
objectives)			
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH	N/A		
GOVERNMENT			
GUIDANCE or			
STRATEGY			

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	YES	NO x	
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:			
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.			

None

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

## 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED. None

Rue Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

#### **1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

SAVING PROPOSAL:	The Winding House & Museums Service: Staff restructure		
BUDGET AREA: The Winding House & Museums Service			
TOTAL BUDGET FOR THIS AREA:	£208,114% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN SAVINGS PROPOSAL:7.6%		

TOTAL SAVING: £16,000		
	TOTAL SAVING:	£16,000

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Following changes to the service in recent years where the venue has closed on a Sunday and Monday to reflect the flow of visitors to the site and a reduction in the Museum service staff based at the venue a consolidated restructure of the staffing at the site allows for a further saving to the staffing budget through an integration of staff resource at Llancaiach Fawr Manor.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

An integration of the heritage and related educational elements at Llancaiach Fawr Manor and the Winding House makes more effective use of staff at the two sites to make the two sites more sustainable financially.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

N/A

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		х
RELIGION OF BELIEF, SEA, SEAOAL ORIENTATION		

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

Staff affected at the two venues by the proposal have been consulted.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	Y	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	Х	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
x				

#### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The saving will have a positive impact on the organisation in making the venue more sustainable financially.

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL **IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF**:

The saving will impact on a limited number of managerial / supervisory staff at Llancaiach Fawr Manor in having to work over two sites managing the work demands of two venues.

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	2.8
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	4

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	0 at Winding House (2 in Llancaiach Fawr Manor)
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A
RETIREMENT:	N/A
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A
REDUNDANCY:	N/A

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	x	
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		x

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

The saving will impact on a limited number of managerial / supervisory staff at Llancaiach Fawr Manor in having to work over two sites managing the work demands of two venues.

Sharing the staff expertise gained through Llancaiach Fawr Manor will have a positive impact on the operation and appeal of the Winding House through a change in the delivery mechanism of the educational aspect of the Winding House, freeing up space at the Winding House to allow an increase in income generation from office / workshop space at the Winding House Museum.

# HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
X				

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	Objective 1 - Improve education opportunities for all	Allows a range of heritage education workshops to be delivered outside the Winding House	
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A		

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO		
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	х			
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:				
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.				

There is a risk that the staff involved are not able to deliver their work aims across two venues.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

Regular management and delivery reviews and 121 meetings with the staff affected to ensure the workload

and objectives are managed appropriately.

#### 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None

Rue Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Events Programme: Reduce Marketing Spend		
BUDGET AREA:	BERT		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£185,523	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	4.3%
THIS AREA: TOTAL SAVING:	£8,000	SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Budget realignment to marketing and administrative budgets to reflect a reduction in paid for advertising and reduced postage as use of digital and social media increases as a marketing tool.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

The savings reflect the trend to greater use of social and digital media in searching for tourism and events information.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

N/A

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO		
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH				
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)				
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or				
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,				
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		x		
NB * IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL				
DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE				
POLICY PORTAL. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS				
RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.				

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

N/A –The proposal reflects the change in how society searches for information to inform their consumer choices.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	Y	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	X	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
x				

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The saving will have a positive impact on the organisation in support of the Council's 'Customer and Digital Strategy'.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL **IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF**:

Staff will have to continue to upskill and spend a greater proportion of their time on delivering and producing content to meet the requirements of consumers searching for information relevant to their leisure and tourism choices.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	1.4
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	2
NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	0

PROPOSED SAVING:

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A
RETIREMENT:	N/A
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A
REDUNDANCY:	N/A

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		x
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		x

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR *INTEGRATION*. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

The service works in collaboration with other local authority partners to share marketing resources to target tourism opportunities to attract more visitors to the area.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
X				

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	N/A		
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A		

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)		х

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

## 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None

Rue Kyte

HEAD OF SERVICE:

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Venues: Efficiency Savings
BUDGET AREA:	BERT

TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£959,046	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	2.2%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

TOTAL SAVING:	£21,000

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Through efficiency savings across the Tourism Venues expenditure budgets, excluding salary and NNDR that are either fixed or subject to planned and stated increases.

For the 2018/19 period the combined Venues total expenditure budget was circa £47,000 under their allocated budget across supplies & services, transport, administrative and property costs.

A £21,000 reduction would offer some flexibility to allow income related expenditure budgets to grow as income increases.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

The savings reflect the efforts of venue managers to continue to make efficiency savings to their budgets by using less resource, sourcing alterative suppliers, conserving energy, achieving greater value for money and offering delivery of the service in a different way.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

The proposals will continue to adhere to the long term sustainability principles of the Well Being Objectives.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		Х

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	v	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	^	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
X	-			

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The proposals will continue to deliver longer term sustainability of the venues through efficiency, value for money and prudent use of resources.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL **IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF**:

Staff will have to continue to show their awareness of the need to continue to drive down the costs of delivering the service through flexibility and adaption to change.

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	34.37
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	53
NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	0
PROPOSED SAVING:	
PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED: POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT: VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A N/A

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		х
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		x

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

The service works in collaboration with other local authority partners to share marketing resources to target tourism opportunities to attract more visitors to the area.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
x				

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	N/A		
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A		

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	x	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

There is a risk that efficiency savings cannot be achieved as in the last year as a result of uncontrollable factors such as the impact of Brexit on price inflation and availability of goods and services.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

Regular budget monitoring to identify trends in expenditure and resource usage.

#### 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED. None

Rhe Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

## MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	HOUSING & REGENERATION
SERVICE AREA:	HOUSING (NON HRA) – General Fund Housing

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Reduction of Community Environmental Warden (CEW) service that is currently concentrated in specific neighbourhood areas.
	There are currently 7 FTE CEW's budgeted (1 vacant) who are funded over 3 service areas (Cleansing, General Fund Housing and Housing Revenue Account). The proposal is to remove the enhanced General Fund service to the community within these areas they currently operate.

BUDGET AREA:	General Fund Housing (cc 5993 P818)

TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£1,289,212 (net of	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	3.5%
THIS AREA:	recharges)	SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

_		
	TOTAL SAVING:	£45675

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

The CEW's currently offer a specific service to the following areas: Graig Y Rhacca Blackwood Risca Gilfach Pontlottyn Caerphilly Lansbury Park The staff are on Cleansing payroll and recharged out as below: The budget (£291k) is funded as follows 37% Cleansing fixed contribution(£108k) } 37% Cleansing 16% General Fund Housing (£45k) } 47% HRA (137k) } 63% Housing

The CEW's carry out waste management duties over and above the normal Councils remit. They dispose of approx. ½ ton waste per site which includes general house & garden clearance. This would include HRA properties hence the recharge to the HRA which is funded from tenants rent.

To meet the MTFP target for General Fund Housing It is proposed to delete this service that is offered to Non HRA residents as it is seen to be over and above what is covered for general tenants within the

## borough.

The saving would be £45k for General Fund Housing which represents 16% of the total cost. £45k is equivalent to 1 FTE salary for a community warden. However, This does not necessarily mean the removal of one post would achieve the saving because of how the recharge is calculated. One post deletion could result in a saving of about £10k to General Fund Housing but this depends on the Cleansing contribution (fixed)and the HRA contribution (75%) remaining the same, which of course may not be the case if the service is affected.

## To illustrate:

There is already a vacant post within this structure which could generate a saving of £10k, if this post was removed from the structure, but, this assumes the Cleansing & HRA contribution remains the same. (ie Cleansing remains fixed at £108k (no saving) and HRA remains at 75% of the balance which would be £106k (£30k saving))

If the Cleansing contribution reduced accordingly then the saving for General Fund Housing would reduce to £6k (Cleansing £93k (15k saving) and HRA 75% £118k (£18k saving)

There would be an impact on those members of the public who currently benefit from this service but not everyone has access to this service unless they live in these areas and general waste management is already offered to council residents.

There would be an impact on the staff involved in this service which could create options for retirement, redundancy or redeployment bearing in mind the "employer" is Cleansing Services not Housing.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

## PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

This is a non statutory service that provides additional support with cleansing duties in some areas of the borough. The proposal would require further consultation with all service areas with the intention to review the arrangement in order that it is delivered consistently across the county borough. This would therefore assist in supporting the long term needs of our residents.

# **Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

There is some concern that fly tipping in certain areas could increase in the short-term, although it is proposed that local residents need to take some responsibility for their own communities and therefore before being implemented the proposal would need to be communicated. Perhaps neighbourhood watch schemes could be established so residents operate as a group rather than in isolation.

It is proposed that this proposal be implemented as a pilot scheme initially to ensure it operates successfully with no increase in fly tipping, however fly tipping is not the responsibility of Housing and therefore the areas currently covered should receive the same level of service as the rest of the county borough.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
, , , ,		✓
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		·

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

No consultation has yet taken place, but clearly the withdrawal of an existing service will not be well received by any community. We obviously need to prioritise the services we deliver with limited funded and this proposal would make a saving and provide services to other parts of the borough who currently do not receive it.

The proposal will be consulted upon as part of the 2020/21 Medium Term Financial Plan.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM		
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	•	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
		~		

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

As this service is managed through Cleansing Services, changes would need to be made with the way the existing wardens operate and consideration of how this impacts on its future service provision. Whilst this proposal is a potential saving for General Fund Housing it may not be the same for Cleansing Service, however with the current arrangement, some communities are receiving an increased level of service to others.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL **IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF**:

Any reduction in the service will have staff implications in Cleansing Services. Limited interest was made in the recent workforce development exercise. There could be an opportunity to cross match with other cleansing staff but voluntary severance costs would need to be considered.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	7
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED: 7
---

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	7
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	1
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	?
RETIREMENT:	?
REDEPLOYMENT:	?
REDUNDANCY:	?

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:	Discussions need to be held with relevant services now to ensure implementation for 2020/21	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	~	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR		
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		·

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

Cleansing Services employ the CEW's directly therefore the savings in this proposal will affect how this service operates going forward. Issues to consider will be the financial impact (Cleansings contribution to current service, costs associated with severance, and additional demand on current service if this proposal is agreed)

There is an option to integrate more efficiently and possibly avoid potential redundancies if the CEW role changed to provide services directly beneficial to council tenants (in the more problematic estates such as Graig Y Rhacca, Lansbury Park, Ty Sign and Phillipstown) providing more of a supporting role for tenants (e.g. assisting with tenancy enforcement issues, garden conditions, communal area checks, ASB and parking issues). This could either be a direct HRA cost (transfer of staff) or a review of the current set-up managed by Cleansing Services. However, consideration needs to be taken in view of the fact that HRA will be downsizing following the achievement of WHQS (est June 2020) and providing alternative roles for existing HRA staff which could compliment any new arrangement.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

Review of current structure.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL IMPACT	MINOR IMPACT	MODERATE IMPACT	SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	CRITICAL IMPACT
IIVII ACI	IN ACT			
	~			

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

 DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING?

 IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.

 POLICY AREA
 WHAT IS THE LINK?

 WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?

POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which		
objectives)		
STATUTORY DUTIES		
WELSH		
GOVERNMENT		
GUIDANCE or		
STRATEGY		

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
--	-----	----

WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	~	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

Reduction in the service could cause concerns regarding the cleanliness of the areas, especially in the more problematic areas such as Lansbury Park and Graig Y Rhacca.

Cleansing Services could argue there is no saving to their budget if they have to divert another cleansing team into areas where the wardens have been reduced although this service should be funded from council fund (not HRA) either way.

Likelihood of introducing charges to residents on the estates for any additional work over and above the normal council funded service.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

Passing costs onto the residents may create a more considerate approach to the area they live in.

## 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

As this proposal affects 3 service areas, further consultation is needed to ensure all views are included.

## HEAD OF SERVICE: SHAUN COUZENS

DATE OF COMPLETION: 13 SEP 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration – Business Enterprise & Renewal Team

## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Reduction in revenue budget for Caerphilly Enterprise Fund, previously allocated to Community Regeneration Fund and Business Development Grants.		
		- (2504)	
BUDGET AREA:	Business Support & Funding Team (2504)		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£1,345,041 total for budget	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	3.6%
THIS AREA:	(£101,251 allocated to	SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	
	Caerphilly Enterprise Fund)		
TOTAL SAVING:	£49,700		

## PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

The revenue budget for grants previously administered by the Business Support & Funding Team has reduced from £232K (Community Regeneration Fund) and £62K (Business Development Grants) in 2015-16 down to a combined revenue pot for the new Caerphilly Enterprise Fund of £101K. It is proposed that this be reduced by 49% in 2020-21, but it should be noted that the capital allocation for the Fund of £149K will not be reduced.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

There will be some impact due to the reduction in budget available for businesses and community groups, but potential applicants will continue to be able to access the remaining budget and the capital budget. Officers will also continue to strive to identify alternative sources of support.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

There are no significant issues to consider in this respect.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
		X
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		Х
· · · · · ·		

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

None on this specific budget reduction as it will not impact to any significant extent on any service users.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE	×	
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	^	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE		
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	Х			

#### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**: There may be some limited negative feedback to the Council from businesses and community groups as the amount of funding available continues to be reduced. However, a significant amount of funding remains available and any negative comments can be addressed by officers engaging in searches for alternative sources of support.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

There will be no immediate effect on staffing numbers.

However, the Business Enterprise Renewal Team will effectively have a smaller budget to deliver an effective business and community support function.

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	3

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	3

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	none
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	
	n/a
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	
	n/a
RETIREMENT:	n/a
REDEPLOYMENT:	
	n/a
REDUNDANCY:	
	n/a

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	n/a
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR		V
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		X
	NEC	NO
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Х
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

The proposed reduction will inevitably result in a smaller number of projects being supported, but the reduction still leaves a viable pot in place, especially when considering the revenue and capital budgets available.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

The Team will continue to strive to identify alternative sources of funding support for local projects.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	Х			

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	Well-being Objective 2 – Enabling employment	There will be minimal impact as a result of this proposal.	
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A		

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)		Х

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

### 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None to add

Rhe Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration – Urban Renewal

### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Reduction in Community Projects/Town Centre Budget		
BUDGET AREA:	Urban Renewal/Town Centre Management (2506 and 3021)		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£41,507 total for budget	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	19%
THIS AREA:	P209 – Community Projects	SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

TOTAL SAVING:	£8,000	
TOTAL SAVING.	10,000	

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

A small reduction in the available budget allocated under budget code P209 Community Projects/TC which for 2019/20 was £41,507

A £8,000 reduction in a £41,507 maintenance/public realm improvement budget is manageable as it equates to a 19% reduction.

These budgets are currently used to deliver small capital improvements in our town and village centres, mainly concentrating on the public realm.

The budgets are focused on undertaking works identified on the town centre audits conducted in the five main town centres. In addition, works identified by local councillors are also often undertaken using these monies throughout the county borough council.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

A reduction of 19% on the public realm maintenance / improvement budgets will have an impact on the ability of the Council to react to maintenance / improvement issues in the county borough's towns and villages.

A small reduction of this nature will not have a massive impact but will still be enough for the general public, and more specifically, Councillors and also members of the five town centre management groups to experience a small decline in the ability of council staff to make improvements to the public realm throughout the county borough.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

If preventative action is unable to be taken using this budget because of budget reductions then there are opportunities for public realm maintenance issues in town centres to escalate and cost more in the long term

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		X
NB * IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL		

DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

None on this specific budget reduction as it is small in nature.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	×	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	^	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	Х			

### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

There will be some negative feedback to the Council from the town centre business communities, town centre management group members and local councillors as they realise that the Council's ability to carry out improvement works to the public realm reduces.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

There will be no immediate effect on staffing numbers.

However, the Urban Renewal/Town Centre team will effectively have a smaller budget to deliver public realm improvements.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	3
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	3

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	none
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	
	n/a
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	
	n/a
RETIREMENT:	n/a
REDEPLOYMENT:	
	n/a
REDUNDANCY:	
	n/a

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	n/a
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO

ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	Х	
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		Х

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

The budget has traditionally been used to instruct NCS and Park Services to undertake small repair projects in our town centres.

The amount of works being instructed will reduce in line with the budget cuts.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

A clear message is already being presented to the business community, town centre management group members and Councillors through the regular dialogue that Urban Renewal and Town Centre Management staff have with them that budgets are reducing and that some functions will be affected.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	Х			

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA WHAT IS THE LINK? WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?			
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEINGWell-being Objective 2 - Enabling employmentThere will be fewer resources to ensure town centres remain attractive places to 			

state which objectives)		negatively.
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A	
WELSH	N/A	
GOVERNMENT		
GUIDANCE or		
STRATEGY		

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO			
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	Х				
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:					
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF P	REVENTATIVE SERVICE AND	FUTURE IMPACTS,			
FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE	etc.				
Risk of adverse reaction by town and village communities, including local ward Members. However the reduction is small enough so as not to have a large impact.					
PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?					
NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.					
- Regular face to face contact with the business co	mmunity through the Town	Centre Management			
team					
<ul> <li>Town Centre Management Groups are aware of p</li> </ul>	proposed cuts to Council ser	vices, as are local			
Members					

### 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None to add

Rhe Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Regeneration & Planning
SERVICE AREA:	Community Regeneration

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	£35,000 from Community Regeneration Central budget for Partnership Support & Community Engagement (normally allocated to 2511/P209) – to fund Workforce Planning costs.
BUDGET AREA:	Community Regeneration

TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£53,721	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	65%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

TOTAL SAVING:	£35,000

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Due to the reduction of Community Regeneration Co-ordinator posts and the phasing out of the Communities First Programme, a number of community partnerships have ceased across the borough. This budget helped support the partnerships with annual running costs including liability insurance for events, marketing, administration fees etc.

This saving was offered up in 2018/19 as a short term measure; however, we are in a position to be able to sustain the current level of allocated budget going forward. £31k will be utilised to cover workforce planning arising from the restructure and the residual £4k will contribute to 20/21 MTFP.

### 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

The reduction of budget has limited effect on the level of support given to the community and to community groups throughout the borough over the past few years. The reduced budget has required a

prioritisation of projects requested based on need and the ability to the allocation to lever in additional funding.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		Х

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

No consultation has taken place; however, our level of service has not been impacted due to the reduction of budget over the past few years.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	Х	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE		
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	Х			

### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

### No impact

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

### No impact

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	Nil
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED: NI	11

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	Nil
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	0
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	0
RETIREMENT:	0
REDEPLOYMENT:	0
REDUNDANCY:	0

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	Already in place

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		x
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		x
IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:		

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

No impact

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

No impact

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
x				

### 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	No	No Impact	
STATUTORY DUTIES	No	No Impact	
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	No	No Impact	

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO		
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)		х		
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:				
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS,				
FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.				
No risks identified				

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

No risks identified

## 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None

Khe Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	HOUSING & REGENERATION
SERVICE AREA:	HOUSING (NON HRA) – Private Sector Housing
JERVICE AREA.	Hoosing (Non The) - There sector housing

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	An increased recharge to the HRA to support the minor works of adaptation work currently managed by Social Services and commissioned to Private Sector Housing.
BUDGET AREA:	Private Sector Housing - Minor Works (cc 2204)

TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£276,050 (net of recharges)	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	9%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

TOTAL SAVING:	£25,000
---------------	---------

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Social Services commission about £230k of work to Private Sector Housing for minor works of adaptation. Private Sector Housing charge a fee of 10% (£23k). The cost of providing this service outweighs the income that is generated which results in a shortfall each year (currently budgeted at £25k in 2019/20). Whilst reviewing this area it appears that some of the minor works of adaptations are benefitting council tenants and therefore a contribution could be made from the HRA for part of this work. Whilst it could be argued that this service should benefit the residents of CCBC irrespective if they are council tenants, homeowners or private rented tenants, and therefore HRA tenants should not be charged, the service itself is not statutory. Therefore a £25k contribution from the HRA seems reasonable to allow this account to be fully financed.

### 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

This is a non statutory service that offers small adaptation works to residents within the borough to assist them with their day to day living. The proposal is not to reduce this service but to gain funding from another service area to maintain the current level.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

This is a non statutory service that offers small adaptation works to residents within the borough to assist them with their day to day living. The proposal is not to reduce this service but to gain funding from another service area to maintain the current level.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
		✓
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

No direct consultation is necessary as there is no change to the current service.

The proposal will be consulted upon as part of the 2020/21 Medium Term Financial Plan.

YES	NO
<b>v</b>	

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
~				

### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The only organisational impact will be on the HRA where the additional funding will need to be sought. It can be argued that tenants rent should not be allocated to a service that benefits the whole community, but

the additional funding can be absorbed within the current dedicated HRA adaptation budget. In theory, if this non-statutory service was deleted, the cost of replacing it within the HRA (if it was replaced) would probably cost more than the proposed contribution.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

No impact on staff as the service will remain the same.

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	1.3 FTE
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	0

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	0
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	n/a
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	n/a
RETIREMENT:	n/a
REDEPLOYMENT:	n/a
REDUNDANCY:	n/a

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	n/a
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR		
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	$\checkmark$	
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR		
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)	~	

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

The other service impact will be on the HRA where the additional funding will need to be sought. It can be argued that tenants rent should not be allocated to a service that benefits the whole community but the

additional funding can be absorbed within the current dedicated HRA adaptation budget. In theory, if this non-statutory service was deleted, the cost of replacing it within the HRA (if it was replaced) would probably cost more that the proposed contribution.

Assisting people to remain safe in their own homes reduces the possible future burden on partners in the health service.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

Mitigation is not necessary as the additional funding will be absorbed within the current HRA budget

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	✓			

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE. POLICY AREA WHAT IS THE LINK? WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT? CORPORATE PLAN WBO 3 "...provide advice, assistance or Sustain the objective and meet MTFP and WELL-BEING support to help improve peoples well requirements **OBJECTIVES** (please being" state which WBO 6 "Support citizens to remain independent and improve their wellobjectives) being" STATUTORY DUTIES WELSH GOVERNMENT **GUIDANCE** or STRATEGY

### 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO

WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)		~		
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:				
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.				
PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVIT	TIES WILL BE MITIGATED?			
NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED PRESSURES.	TO BE TOLERATED IN THE	CONTEXT OF BUDGET		

## 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

HEAD OF SERVICE: SHAUN COUZENS

DATE OF COMPLETION: 13 SEP 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Planning Fees		
BUDGET AREA:	Development Management a	and Conservation Service	
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	The expectation in the	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	
THIS AREA:	budget for pre-application	SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	20%
	advice income is £29,060		

TOTAL SAVING:	£6,000
---------------	--------

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Fees for the submission of planning applications and other forms of consent are set by Welsh Government. The local planning authority (LPA) cannot charge for any statutory service unless there are corresponding statutory fees. One of the services provided by the LPA is planning advice prior to the submission of an application. This has a statutory element, for which there is a statutory fee schedule, and a non-statutory element i.e. additional services for which an extra charge is levied. Those extra services and fees are proposed to be increased. Further it is proposed that a new charge be introduced in respect of discretionary pre-application advice in respect of Conservation/Built Heritage applications to bring them in line with other applications.

### 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

The increase in planning fees makes the service more sustainable in the long-term.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

N/A

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		Х

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *INVOLVEMENT*.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

Caerphilly's fees are not excessive when compared across the Region, and there is certainly scope for an increase. Service users are not obliged to utilise the additional service and the fee increase will be monitored to determine if they have an adverse impact on the number of service requests received.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	Y	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	X	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	X			

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The proposals will continue to deliver longer term sustainability of the service through efficiency, value for money and prudent use of resources.

### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

Staff will have to continue to show their awareness of the need to continue to drive down the costs of delivering the service through flexibility and adaption to change.

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	11

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	11
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	0

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A
RETIREMENT:	N/A
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A
REDUNDANCY:	N/A

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	With immediate effect.
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		x
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		x

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

**PROPOSED SAVING:** 

HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives. n/a

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

n/a

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):					
NIL MINOR MODERATE SIGNIFICANT CRITICAL					
x					

# 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.		
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	N/A	
STATUTORY DUTIES	Section 18 of the Planning (Wales) Act 2015 requires Local Planning Authorities to provide statutory pre-application advice. This is an additional service which is discretionary.	Slight increase in planning fees will not adversely impact service users' ability to access the service.
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A	

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	Х	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

The uncertain nature of planning fee income which can vary from year to year, depending on how many major applications are submitted, constitutes a risk in terms of the income level not being realised.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET

## PRESSURES.

Regular budget monitoring to identify trends in expenditure and resource usage.

### 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None

Rhe Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration – Urban Renewal

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Increased staff fee income from project management on grant funded projects.		
BUDGET AREA:	Urban Renewal/Town Centre Management 3021T009 (income)		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£ 4,000	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	Increase of 50%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

TOTAL SAVING:	£2,000 increase in income

## PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Increase fee income from Urban Renewal project management of externally funded projects, predominantly ERDF funded ones.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

There will be no impact on the public

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

There will be no impact as this is an internal management budget and does not affect external service provision

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
DUES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTEINTIAL TO	TES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		Х
RELIGION OF BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION		

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

This is an internal services management budget so its realignment has no effect on customers/residents.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	X	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE		
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
Х				

### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

This will have no impact on the organisation and future service provision.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL **IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF**:

There will be no immediate effect on staffing numbers.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	3
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	3

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> AFFECTED BY THE	none
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	
	n/a
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	
	n/a
RETIREMENT:	n/a
REDEPLOYMENT:	
	n/a
REDUNDANCY:	
	n/a

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	n/a
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		Х
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR		
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		Х

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

Not applicable

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

Collaboration guidance: Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

## Not applicable

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
Х				

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.		
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	Not applicable	
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A	
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A	

### 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	Х	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

Risk of not sustaining this income year on year. European funded projects will cease in 2022 and there is no successor programme in place.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

- Having strong projects to submit to successor programmes to ensure that the Council can continue to draw down a management fee for their implementation .

### 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED. None to add

Rhe Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Cwmcarn: Trails & adventure	e park – Income generatior	I
BUDGET AREA: Cwmcarn Forest Visitor Centre & Campsite			
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	f247.164	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	4%

THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	
TOTAL SAVING:	£10,000		

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

As a consequence of a number of investments that have developed on site aimed at attracting more visitors to the site. Investments that have come on stream this year include: the installation of an adventure park aimed at family audiences; 6 new accommodation units that provide a greater yield per pitch compared to the camping pitches they have replaced.

Further investments due to come on stream in 2020/21 that are anticipated to increase income include two new cycle trails, improvements to walking trails and the reopening of the forest drive.

### 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC**:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

The savings will have a positive impact on the public in providing an enhanced offer at the site at a reduced cost.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

The savings will have a positive impact on the well-being of the public through increased access to the recreational offer as a consequence of the investments in place and a reduced cost of provision.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
		x
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		~

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

NRW have been consulting with local users to gauge feedback on future investment and developments that they would like to see at the site which will inform future development plans for the site.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE	YES	NO
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	V	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	X	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL MINOR MODERATE SIGNIFICANT CRITICAL IMPACT IMPACT IMPACT IMPACT IMPACT				
x				

#### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The saving will have a positive impact on the organisation reflecting an increase in service provision through the addition of recreational and family attractions on the site.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

The saving will have no impact on staff

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	11.92
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	22

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	0
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A
RETIREMENT:	N/A
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A
REDUNDANCY:	N/A

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		х
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		х

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE<br/>ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):NILMINORMODERATESIGNIFICANTCRITICALIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTXIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACT

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.		
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	Contributes to a Healthier Wales and CCBC's Well-being Objective 5 - Creating a county borough that supports a healthy lifestyle	Provision of additional recreational facilities and access to the countryside improving physical and mental well being
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A	
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A	

### 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	x	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

The financial risk of not achieving the stated income.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

A range of investments are planned to appeal to a cross section of user and potential user groups to diversify the portfolio of attractions across cycling, walking, soft adventure and family based attractions.

### 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None

Rue Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Caerphilly Visitor Centre: Income generation		
	Ι		1
BUDGET AREA:	Caerphilly Visitor Centre		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£81,325	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	6%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	

TOTAL SAVING:	£5,000

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

As a consequence of an investment in new furniture at the site the number of café 'covers' have increased and a more appealing café offer has been created.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

The savings will have a positive impact on the public in providing an enhanced offer at the site at a reduced cost.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

The savings will have a positive impact on the well-being of the public through the provision of an enhanced visitor experience as a consequence of the investment that has been undertaken.

YES	NO
	x
	X
	YES

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

Feedback is regularly provided by existing customers on their experiences at the centre.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM		x
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE		
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
х				

### 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The saving will have a positive impact on the organisation reflecting an enhanced experience in service provision through a reduced cost.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

The saving will have no impact on staff.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	4.3
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	22

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	8
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A
RETIREMENT:	N/A
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A
REDUNDANCY:	N/A

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR		~
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		Х
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR		
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		х
VOLUNTART SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR *INTEGRATION*. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

n/a

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NILMINORMODERATESIGNIFICANTCRITICALIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACTIMPACT				
x Internet in the second secon				

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN	N/A		
and WELL-BEING			
OBJECTIVES (please			
state which			
objectives)			
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH	N/A		
GOVERNMENT			
GUIDANCE or			
STRATEGY			

# 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO		
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	x			
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:				
PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PI	REVENTATIVE SERVICE AND	FUTURE IMPACTS,		
FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.				
The financial risk of not achieving the stated income.				
PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?				
NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.				
The income is not significant and the furniture has been installed during the current financial year and recent budget monitoring show a 12% increase in income since the furniture had been installed.				

# 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED. None

Rue Kyte

HEAD OF SERVICE:

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Blackwood Miners Institute: Income generation		
BUDGET AREA: Blackwood Miners Institute			
TOTAL BUDGET FOR THIS AREA:	£327,815	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	1.5%
	•	·	
TOTAL SAVING:	£5,000		

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

A focus on secondary spend though the provision of a mobile bar and confectionary unit aims to improve sales to customers by taking saleable items into the auditorium during shows.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

The savings will have a positive impact on the public in providing easier access to the sales items.

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

N/A

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		х
NB * IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMP	ACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREEN	ING. THIS WILL
DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR	FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDAI	NCE PLEASE SEE THE
POLICY PORTAL. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIA	SWILL NEED TO BE APPENDED	TO ALL DECISION REPORTS
RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.		

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

Feedback is regularly provided by existing customers on their experiences at the venue.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	, v	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	X	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
Х				

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The saving will have a positive impact on the organisation reflecting an enhanced experience in service provision through the easier access to retail items on site.

## PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

The saving will have no impact on staff.

NUMBER OF <b>FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b> STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	8.47
NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	12

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	0
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A
RETIREMENT:	N/A
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A
REDUNDANCY:	N/A

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR		
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		Х
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR		
ON ANOTHER FUBLIC SECTOR FARTNER, OR		X
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		X

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT

х		

#### 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.				
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?		
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	N/A			
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A			
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A			

#### 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO	
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	Х		
IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:			

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

The financial risk of not achieving the stated income.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

The income is not significant and the mobile bars have already been purchased during the current financial year.

## 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None

Rhe Kyte

HEAD OF SERVICE: DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019

# MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Regeneration and Planning:

### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

Events Programme: Income		
GET AREA: BERT		
£88,630	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	13.5%
	BERT	BERT

TOTAL SAVING:	£12,000

#### PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Following a review of the Events programme considered by the Regeneration and Environment Scrutiny Committee in 2018 and a subsequent £47,494 reduction in the events budget the events team have continued to work hard to increase income for the events programme and reduce event expenditure where possible to continue to reduce the net cost of the event programme.

The more successful events in terms of income generation are those based in Caerphilly Town and further income generation can be achieved through: an increase in pitch fees, pitch income and sponsorship as the commercial appeal of the events is reflected in the demand for pitch space at the associated events; and a reduction in discrete areas of spend within the events budget.

## 2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

**Long-term guidance:** Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

N/A

**Prevention guidance:** Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

N/A

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO	
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH			
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)			
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or			
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,			
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		X	
NB * IE VES DI EASE COMDI ETE AN EQUIALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING, THIS WILL			

**NB** \* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *INVOLVEMENT*.

**Involvement guidance:** Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

An extensive consultation process was undertaken as part of the Events Review report considered by the Council's Scrutiny committee in 2018 and further consultation was undertaken as part of a recent Fees and Charges report considered by Cabinet.

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	×	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	~	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
х				

## 3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

The saving will have a positive impact on the organisation in making the events programme more sustainable financially.

#### PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

N/A

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	1.6
STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	

NUMBER OF <b>POSTS</b> IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	2

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE	0
PROPOSED SAVING:	

PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY <b>POSTS</b> ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A
RETIREMENT:	N/A
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A
REDUNDANCY:	N/A

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR		
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		Х
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO
ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR		
		х
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

**Integration guidance:** Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

N/A

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

**Collaboration guidance:** Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

The Council works collaboratively with Town and Community Councils to deliver a number of events.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
х				

## 3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.			
POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?	
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	N/A		
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A		
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A		

## 4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	X	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

There is a risk that income generation is not achieved through a lack of commercial demand and occasional weather interruptions to the events programme. There is also a risk that the public perceptions of the events programme are negative as a result of an 'over commercialisation' of the events programme.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

Work has already been undertaken to attract more sponsorship that has delivered a successful new sponsor to The Big Cheese for the 2019 events. This work will continue along with a review of the pitch fees associated with the programme and a promotion of the positive aspects of delivering a financially sustainable events programme.

## 5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

None

Rhe Kyte

**HEAD OF SERVICE:** 

DATE OF COMPLETION: 09 September 2019